The Benefits of Dual-Task Training in Military Personnel

By: Ryan Glatt, MS, CPT, PhD(c)

Sponsored by: SMARTfit Inc.

Dual-task training which involves the simultaneous practice of a cognitive and motor task, offers potential benefits for military personnel by enhancing their ability to perform in real-world multitasking environments. This approach may demonstrate improvements in **cognitive-motor interference**, **postural stability**, **operational readiness post-traumatic brain injury (TBI)**, and **performance under stress**. Moreover, it can enhance key **executive functions** such as **working memory**, **cognitive flexibility**, **task coordination**, and **attentional control**, possibly making it more effective than single-task training.

Dual-task training can address **cognitive-motor interference**, a phenomenon where performance declines when cognitive and motor tasks are performed simultaneously. This training can improve the ability to manage interference, potentially leading to **enhanced performance in both domains**. Gattoni et al. (2023) demonstrated that dual-task training may improve **gait stability** and **obstacle clearance** while performing cognitive tasks, highlighting its relevance for multitasking military operations. **Postural stability** is critical for military personnel who often operate in dynamic and unpredictable environments. Dual-task training can improve **balance and coordination** while performing cognitive tasks, such as the Stroop test. Penko et al. (2021) found significant improvements in both cognitive and motor performance under dual-task conditions, underscoring its possible utility in physically demanding scenarios. For military personnel recovering from **mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI)**, dual-task training provides a critical means of assessing and possibly improving **readiness to return to duty**. Scherer et al. (2013) emphasized that dual-task training may address subtle **cognitive and sensorimotor deficits**, which are often missed in single-task assessments, enhancing **operational competence** and recovery outcomes.

Military operations often require individuals to manage multiple tasks under **high-stress conditions**. Dual-task training may enhance **stress resilience** by improving the capacity to perform **cognitive tasks** while engaging in physically demanding activities. Vine et al. (2024) noted that soldiers trained under dual-task conditions demonstrated **superior task performance** and **decision-making under stress** compared to those trained in single-task environments. Moreover, dual-task training can have a significant impact on specific **executive functions**. Improved **working memory** performance is evidenced by better results on tests like the 2-back test immediately after dual-task interventions (Zhang et al., 2023). Additionally, dual-task training can enhance **coordination skills** necessary for managing **cognitive-motor interference**, a benefit less evident in single-task training (Schubert et al., 2017; Strobach et al., 2014). Enhanced **attentional control** and **inhibitory processes** may enable soldiers to better suppress irrelevant information and focus on critical stimuli (Strobach, 2020).

Emerging evidence from neuroscience highlights how **neural efficiency**, or the ability to execute complex tasks with fewer cognitive resources, can contribute to the

effectiveness of dual-task training. Functional imaging studies reveal that individuals who engage in dual-task exercises may show improved activation in areas such as the **prefrontal cortex**, which is critical for **anticipation**, **decision-making**, and **motor coordination** (Yarrow et al., 2009). These neural adaptations may allow military personnel to maintain clarity and precision in high-pressure situations, optimizing their operational readiness and resilience.

Additional findings suggest that dual-task training protocols that incorporate rapid decision-making under dynamic and unpredictable conditions may improve **reaction time**, **situational awareness**, and **response precision** (Beurskens et al., 2021). These elements are particularly critical for military personnel who must adapt to evolving threats and environments. Similarly, dual-task exercises that include elements of spatial awareness and timing may further support motor control and tactical execution (Gattoni et al., 2023).

The potential benefits of dual-task training can extend beyond the specific tasks practiced. Worden and Vallis (2014) demonstrated that dual-task training protocols may improve performance in both **cognitive and motor domains** more effectively than single-task training, suggesting that this approach prepares personnel for the **multitasking demands of military operations**. Reactive agility drills, where participants respond to dynamic and unpredictable stimuli while performing physical tasks, serve as a prime example. Such drills mimic real-world operational scenarios, potentially enhancing **reaction time**, **situational awareness**, and **decision-making under uncertainty** (Serpell et al., 2011). Training under cognitive load or fatigue conditions, as suggested by the Challenge Point Framework, may further prepare personnel to sustain high performance even under extreme stress and physical exertion (Guadagnoli & Lee, 2004).

Dual-task training interventions typically involve the simultaneous practice of **cognitive and motor tasks**, whereas single-task interventions focus on one domain at a time. Common dual-task training methods include combining **cognitive tasks**, such as serial subtractions or visual search tasks, with **motor tasks**, such as balance maintenance or obstacle navigation (Beurskens et al., 2021). Participants may be instructed to **prioritize either the cognitive or motor task** during practice, though improvements are typically observed regardless of prioritization (Beurskens et al., 2020). Another method involves **navigating dynamic obstacles** while responding to cognitive challenges, training **multitasking capabilities** (Worden & Vallis, 2014).

Dual-task training can be a valuable tool for military personnel, potentially enhancing **cognitive-motor performance**, **postural stability**, **recovery post-TBI**, and **resilience under stress**. By addressing the **multitasking requirements of military operations** and training **executive functions** such as **working memory**, **cognitive flexibility**, and **attention**, dual-task training may contribute to improved **readiness and operational competence**.

References:

Beurskens, R., Brueckner, D., & Muehlbauer, T. (2020). Effects of motor versus cognitive task prioritization during dual-task practice on dual-task performance

in young adults. *Frontiers in Psychology, 11*, 581225. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.581225

Beurskens, R., Brueckner, D., Voigt, H., & Muehlbauer, T. (2021). Cognitive and motor task performance under single- and dual-task conditions: Effects of consecutive versus concurrent practice. *Experimental Brain Research, 239*(8), 2529-2535. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00221-021-06130-8

Frontiers in Psychology. (2021). Neural adaptations in dual-task scenarios. *Frontiers in Psychology, 12*, 604803. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.604803

Gattoni, C., Martinez-Gonzalez, B., Li, C., & Marcora, S. M. (2023). Assessing cognitive-motor interference in military contexts: Validity and reliability of two dual-tasking tests. *Military Medicine, 188*(9-10), e2900-e2908. https://doi.org/10.1093/milmed/usad048

Guadagnoli, M. A., & Lee, T. D. (2004). Challenge point: A framework for conceptualizing the effects of various practice conditions in motor learning. *Journal of Motor Behavior, 36*(2), 212-224. https://doi.org/10.3200/JMBR.36.2.212-224

Penko, A. L., Linder, S. M., Miller Koop, M., Dey, T., & Alberts, J. L. (2021). Quantification of dual-task performance in healthy young adults suitable for military use. *Military Medicine, 186*(Suppl 1), 58-64. https://doi.org/10.1093/milmed/usaa404

Scherer, M. R., Weightman, M. M., Radomski, M. V., Davidson, L. F., & McCulloch, K. L. (2013). Returning service members to duty following mild traumatic brain injury: Exploring the use of dual-task and multitask assessment methods. *Physical Therapy, 93*(9), 1254-1267. https://doi.org/10.2522/ptj.20120143

Serpell, B. G., Young, W. B., & Ford, M. (2011). Are the perceptual and decision-making components of agility trainable? A preliminary investigation. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research, 25*(5), 1240-1248. https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0b013e3181cc253d

Strobach, T. (2020). The dual-task practice advantage: Empirical evidence and cognitive mechanisms. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 27*(1), 3-14. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13423-019-01619-4

Strobach, T., Salminen, T., Karbach, J., & Schubert, T. (2014). Practice-related optimization and transfer of executive functions: A general review and a specific realization of their mechanisms in dual tasks. *Psychological Research, 78*(6), 836-851. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00426-014-0563-7

Vine, C. A. J., Runswick, O. R., Blacker, S. D., et al. (2024). Cognitive, psychophysiological, and perceptual responses to a repeated military-specific load carriage treadmill simulation. *Human Factors, 66*(10), 2379-2392. https://doi.org/10.1177/00187208231214216

Worden, T. A., & Vallis, L. A. (2014). Concurrent performance of a cognitive and dynamic obstacle avoidance task: Influence of dual-task training. *Journal of Motor Behavior, 46*(5), 357-368. https://doi.org/10.1080/00222895.2014.914887

Yarrow, K., Brown, P., & Krakauer, J. W. (2009). Inside the brain of an elite athlete: The neural processes that support high achievement. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 10*(8), 585-596. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2672

Zhang, W., Liu, H., & Zhang, T. (2023). Immediate and short-term effects of single-task and motor-cognitive dual-task on executive function. *PLOS One, 18*(8), e0290171. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0290171